RECOVER Work Package 2 and the national coordinating team

Extended Point Prevalence Audit Survey (PPAS)

Results for Spain





This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 101003589.





















Version 01 2

Extended PPAS Spain

General information

The point prevalence audit survey (PPAS) was initiated in January 2020 in 18 European countries to capture information with respect to antibiotic prescribing and diagnostic testing for patients presenting in primary care with symptoms of an acute respiratory tract infection. The anonymous registration of patients' characteristics, signs and symptoms, physical examination results and the management of general practitioners with respect to diagnostic testing, prescribing of antibiotics and other medicines, and provided self-care advice was very smoothly implemented in about 125 primary care practices throughout Europe.

This first PPAS was nearly finished when the COVID-19 pandemic hit Europe. Given the success of the PPAS, it was decided to extend the initial survey with COVID-19 specific items. Running this extended PPAS throughout Europe will provide information of how patients with respiratory tract infection are managed during the pandemic, what medicines are prescribed and advice provided, and will reveal marked differences between countries with respect to patient management.

Country information

- Country: SPAIN
- Registration period: 01 April 2020 to 31 May 2020
- Total number of patient consultations: 250

Additional remarks from interview study with GPs and patients:

- More than half of the patient contacts were by telephone only
- One third of patients had a chronic condition
- Over 70% of patients had mild symptoms
- In the vast majority of patients the GP was confident about the his/her assessment of disease severity
- In half of the patients an additional test was ordered, almost always a chest X-ray
- In 78% of patients COVID was suspected by the GP
- In the vast majority of patients preventive measures and home isoltaion were recommended, and almost never an antibiotic was prescribed
- 9.6% of patients were referred to hospital

Consultation (N=250)							
	Practice	43.2%					
Consultation at	Home	0.8%					
	Protective measures: yes			apron/body protection		99.1%*	
		100%		face, nose/moutl protection	10	100%	
				safety glasses gloves		95.5% 100%	
	Telephone	56.0%					
	Video/skype	0.0%					
				Result:			
Has patient	Yes	16.4%	-	Positive		65.9%*	
already been		10.4%	-	Negative		22.0%	
tested for COVID?				Unknown		12.2%	
Patient characteri	No stics	83.2%					
Age	Median (IQR)	46 (34-56)					
ngc	Yes	33.6%	chronic respiratory condition			28.6%*	
Comorbidity			diabetes			17.9%	
			cardiovascular disease		9	45.2%	
Measured:	Vac	0/ 50/"	T	20 - 426	47.00/1	•	
Fever O ₂	Yes Yes	84.5%" 96.4%"		Temp>=38 or <36 Saturation <96%		17.6%* 9.1 %*	
Resp. rate	Yes	77.3%"	Resp. rate >20 or <12		14.1%^		
Signs and sympto	ms	1					
Rhinitis	Yes	7.2%					
Sore throat	Yes	25.6%					
Cough	Yes	75.6%	(dys	(dyspnoea)		0.4%#	
			auscultation		12.7%"		
			(pleuritic) chest pain		7.2%#		
			tachypnoea		0.8%#		
General	Yes	72.4%	headache		42.0%#		
symptoms			altered mental 0.8% status				

			fatigue		37.6%		
			diarrhoea		16.4%		
Overall illness severity	Mild	70.8%					
	Moderate	26.0%					
	Severe	3.2%					
Confidence in	Very confident	4.8% Very confident			4.8% ^{\$}		
assessment of	Confident	68.4%	Confident			70.8% ^{\$}	
the patient's condition	Moderately	24.9%	Moderately		23.0%\$		
Condition	Unconfident	1.6%	Unconfident		1.4% ^{\$}		
Additional diagnostic tests	Yes			CRP CRP Median (IQR)	0	.0%*	
		50.0%"		COVID-19 test	10	0.9%	
				Total white blood cell count	1.	8%	
				Chest X-ray	9	4.5%	
	viral (no COVID-19)				15.6%		
Suspected aetiology	COVID-19				78.4%		
	bacterial				2.4%		
	allergic				0.4%		
	not clear				5.6%		
	acute pharyngitis/tonsillitis/abscess 6.4%						
	laryngitis/laryngotracheitis			0.0%			
	influenza-like-illness			3.2%			
Initial working diagnosis	bronchiolitis			0.0%			
	acute bronchitis	2.8%					
	CAP exacerbation COPI	1.6% 1.6%					
	upper RTI 4.4%						
	COVID-19			84.0%			
				Contacted public health authorities?		2.9%*	
				Would you recommend testing?		70.0%	
GP provided	advice for home isolation	82.4%		how many days? Median		4 (14-14)	
	advice for symptomatic treatment	85.2%	(ICQ)				

	a scheduled follow-up visit/call	76.0%				
	prescribed medication	17.2%	inhaled medication	4.4%#		
			antibiotic	4.4%		
			antiviral medication	0.4%		
			antihistamines	1.2%		
	advice for family members	70.4%	home isolation	66.8%#		
			social distancing	52.0%		
			other	1.2%		
			extra handwashing	78.8%#		
			sneezing in sleeve	40.8%		
	preventive	81.2%	social distancing	58.4%		
	measures for patient		nose/mouth protection	56.0%		
reliab			staying in separate room	63.6%		
	where to find reliable information	21.6%				
GP prescribed	Antibiotics if worki	ng diagnose wa	s COVID- Yes	3.3%		
Confidence that	Very confident	8.4%	Very confident	8.4% ^{\$}		
provided	Confident	72.8%	Confident	76.4% ^{\$}		
advice/treatmen	Moderately	15.6%	Moderately	14.8% ^{\$}		
t will benefit this	Unconfident	0.4%	Unconfident	0.5% ^{\$}		
patient	Very unconfident	0.0%	Very unconfident	0.0%\$		
	Referral to hospital	9.6%				
	Advise contact/refer to COVID-specific authority	8.0%				

^{*} percentage from yes " percentage from F2F contact # percentage from total (n=240) ^ percentage from adults and yes \$ if suspected etiology is COVID-19

